

ELCIRC User Group Meeting-

<http://www.ccalmr.org.edu/CORIE/modeling/elcirm/meeting.html>

(Personal notes from Aaron Racicot – aaronr@ccalmr.org.edu)

Morning – Day 1

Opening – Antonio

General overview...

Looking for feedback from community on future of ELCIRC.

Need to focus on the community – Forum, code updates (maybe cvs versions of the code?)

<http://sourceforge.net/index.php> ?)

Joseph

SMILE grid generation tool – Germany

SMS grid generation

Paul

Need to get web resources up and available for the community... Ryan's tutorial and ACE documentation

1) Ryan's Tutorial - <http://www.ccalmr.org.edu/~kilgren/tutorial1.htm>

2) ACE/Tools - <http://amb1019.ccalmr.org.edu/doc/>

Interest in G3. Worry about VMWare compatibility for Linux.

Cheryl Ann Blain - NRL

Tim Campbell – Mississippi State University doing coding

1) Ghost nodes/sides/elements

2) Backtracking queue

Joseph – SELFE

Interesting work going forward... very preliminary

FE formulation

Afternoon – Day 1

Ewa Jarosz – NRL

Antonio - Try removing horizontal diffusion – Numerical diffusion already exists

Northern boundary condition does not take into consideration evaporation in the Northern Red Sea.

Questioning whether it was currents or mixing that caused the dense water to be driven from the straight.

Ligia Pinto – LNEC

Looking at Stratification

Satellite imagery to define the domain!

Stratification criteria – Prandle 1985

Tidal influence is dominant to river flow in terms of stratification.

Antonio – UB is better in the plume than MY – Recommended to try UB

Anabela Oliveira – LNEC

Looking at Lagoon

Big problem – Migration of the inlet

ADCIRC – Mass conservation problems

Changed to the frequency domain for the model data output

Changed the friction formulation – Manning formulation

Issues with ADCIRC and wetting and drying – Suggested to try most recent version of ADCIRC with code fix.

John Morrison – IOS Canada

Biologically focused

4 types of organisms

- 1) Benthic - Bottom
- 2) Pelagic - Top
- 3) Vertical migration
- 4) Passive

Largely focused on the seeding conditions

Life span consideration

Biological connectivity of national parks through random distribution of particles and seeing where they tend to over time.

Very depth dependent – Surface much more interconnected

Wind counts very much as well... maybe interconnected!

Harry Wang & Joe Cho– VIMS

Chesapeake Bay – ELCIRC and UnTRIM

SMS used to merge 2 grids

Using JANET software to make orthogonal grids from original.

Barotropic simulation

ELCIRC was underestimating tidal amplitude in the bay

Looking at 3 possible areas for explanation:

- 1) Treatment of the definition of the element depth
- 2) Bottom friction formulation - C_d
- 3) Time Step – Based on York River Simulation and evaluation of R^2

Looking at doing baroclinic simulations

Quarter annulus solution looked closer.

Might want to look at the M6 to see the effect of bottom friction.

Mike Foreman – IOS Canada

Broughton Archipelago -

Trouble getting accurate boundary conditions.

Delta t is 5 minutes

ELCIRC doing a good job capturing many of the eddy and estuarine flow features

HAB off Juan de Fuca Strait -

June and September 2003 Cruise comparison

Using satellite imagery (MERIS) to look at chlorophyll

Quesnel Lake -

Large temperature fluctuations – Crucial for salmon migration

MY & KKL are too diffusive – Setting very small number

Time step looks suspicious for noise generation

Need to look to at optimal time step...

Things to do:

Look at quads

Might need nesting to better resolve boundary conditions

Need to include Columbia River discharge

Need to look at heat exchange

Having trouble creating the eddies without the IC's to start with

Might look at different equation of state since salt does not matter in the lake example.

Ed Myers – NOAA

Coastal Storms Initiative

Initial work - EFDC – Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code

Wants to look at decreasing the time step and different turbulence closure scheme

There is a drastic difference between results with triangles and quads

Looking at NOS Skill Assessment Standards – Needed to pass to operational status

Looking at standard data formats – COMFS

CSDL NetCDF

Using SMS for grid generation

Tom Gross – Can get the NetCDF subroutines

Use Matlab and IDL but looking at NCL

Mike Zulauf – OGI

Atmospheric forcing and ELCIRC

Wind files

Flux files

HDF4 file format – questions on this format and ease of install

Interest, but worry about portability to different regions

Need to maybe look at the PROJ.4 library for translation of Lat-Lon data.

Morning – Day 2

Opening – Joseph, Antonio

What are the important things we want to see in ELCIRC for the future – C&L

- Making the heat exchange model portable. Ease of setup and different data formats. NCEP, MM5
- Code structure – Difficult to make code changes

- Quad grids –
 - Justification and when to use
 - How to create and visualization
 - Quantitative comparison of what you get when you migrate – need examples and benchmarks
- Speed – Need to get speed up
 - **Parallel** vs. Code optimization vs. Faster machines
 - > 100,000 nodes
 - UnTRIM vs. ELCIRC – 2:1 in favor of UnTRIM for speed on benchmarks from VIMS
- Transport equation solving
 - Volume conserving
 - No Negative concentrations
 - Less diffusion issues
- Turbulence mixing – would like to see more work here
- Small grids – re-look at hydrostatic assumption
- Memory – Running out of memory with large grids
 - Broader audience will require small memory version
- Mass and Volume conservation
- Z-Coordinates are a limitation for the sediment transport issues
 - Sigma coordinates, **S coordinates**
- Output options
 - Frequency
 - How it is tied into hot start
 - Formats – HDF, **NetCDF**
- Hot start issues
 - No Output while process is running
 - Version – 4.01 till now (seems to be Ed only on this one)
 - Will try to reproduce
- More tutorials
 - More new user materials
 - More benchmarks – di-pole eddies etc.
 - Benchmark speed
- Utilities
 - Direct transfer of grid format to ELCIRC for atmospheric, not HDF
 - Drogues and particle tracking – Need to release the code
- S-Coordinates again...
- Mixed grids – more integration of knowledge
 - SMS and SMILE
- Patches
 - CVS and updates
 - Source Forge
- Need to make more convincing statement on quads vs. triangles
 - What is the reaction of the model to the grid being oriented along the flow
 - The difference between:
 - Quads vs. Triangles

- Orthogonal triangles vs. symmetric triangles
- NetCDF Issues
 - File size
 - Computationally expensive

Issues from yesterday (Antonio)

- Inter-Model comparisons
- More pro-active work from OGI to look into code for people who are trying to apply ELCIRC

Recommendations for user setup (Antonio)

- Looking at numerical diffusion to see where to use quads and triangles
 - Looks at truncation error
 - Documented in ELCIRC paper on web
- Courant numbers – Looking at time steps
 - $\Delta t = \Delta x / \sqrt{g'h}$ to find approximate time step
 - Cu between 1-10
- Too large of time step
 - Excessive vertical mixing

Group Contributions –

Anabela – Sediment transport:

Morphodynamic modeling

Time frame – Contingent on the s-cord (or sigma cord) model (Thesis work is timed for 3 years so this caps the development of the s-cord and transport work to about 1.5 years)

IOS – Canada:

Biological issues integrated into ELCIRC

VIMS:

Biological focus for CB through VIMS

Post-Doc working on this – 22 variables

Try to put it in ELCIRC directly

(Antonio) Would really like to have UnTRIM vs. ELCIRC comparison

GoMOOS:

Still moving forward with POM

Using QUODDY for a small problem that ELCIRC might be a candidate for

Model Skill Assessment:

What would it take to get other models to run on a real world domain? Columbia?

- Resource is needed to run it
- Idea: Hire a post doc to run all of the models on one domain?

- Make the incentive to running the models on the test domain that you learn something new about YOUR model... not just the domain it is run on.

Next Meeting:

- At OGI
- Next 9-12 months
- Probably after parallel version is released

Afternoon – Day 2

Specific Problems:

Turbulence Closure Models

- Are there reasons we should look at others?

Nudging

- Allowing for chopping runs up in a smarter fashion
- Good convergence (about 2 weeks in the example shown)